

**SOIL CONSERVATION AND WATER QUALITY DIVISION[27]**

**Regulatory Analysis**

Notice of Intended Action to be published: 27—Chapter 2  
“Operation of State Soil Conservation and Water Quality Committee”

Iowa Code section(s) or chapter(s) authorizing rulemaking: 161A  
State or federal law(s) implemented by the rulemaking: Iowa Code section 161A.4A

*Public Hearing*

A public hearing at which persons may present their views orally or in writing will be held as follows:

July 14, 2026  
9 to 10 a.m.

Borlaug Conference Room  
Hoover State Office Building  
Des Moines, Iowa

*Public Comment*

Any interested person may submit written comments concerning this Regulatory Analysis, which must be received by the Soil Conservation and Water Quality Division no later than 4:30 p.m. on the date of the public hearing. Comments should be directed to:

Colin Tadlock  
1305 East Walnut Street  
Des Moines, Iowa 50319  
Email: [colin.tadlock@iowaagriculture.gov](mailto:colin.tadlock@iowaagriculture.gov)

*Purpose and Summary*

This proposed rulemaking rescinds Chapter 2. Provisions included in this chapter have been consolidated and incorporated into a revised 27—Chapter 1 in a concurrent rulemaking (RA 26-95, IAB 6/24/26).

*Analysis of Impact*

1. **Persons affected by the proposed rulemaking:**
  - **Classes of persons that will bear the costs of the proposed rulemaking:**  
No costs are incurred by the public to comply with this proposed rulemaking.
  - **Classes of persons that will benefit from the proposed rulemaking:**  
No persons will directly benefit from this proposed rulemaking. Chapter 2 is proposed to be rescinded.
2. **Impact of the proposed rulemaking, economic or otherwise, including the nature and amount of all the different kinds of costs that would be incurred:**
  - **Quantitative description of impact:**  
There will be no significant impact associated with this proposed rulemaking.
  - **Qualitative description of impact:**  
There will be no significant impact associated with this proposed rulemaking.
3. **Costs to the State:**
  - **Implementation and enforcement costs borne by the agency or any other agency:**

Not applicable.

- **Anticipated effect on State revenues:**

Not applicable.

**4. Comparison of the costs and benefits of the proposed rulemaking to the costs and benefits of inaction:**

Not applicable.

**5. Determination whether less costly methods or less intrusive methods exist for achieving the purpose of the proposed rulemaking:**

Not applicable.

**6. Alternative methods considered by the agency:**

- **Description of any alternative methods that were seriously considered by the agency:**

No alternative methods were considered. The chapter is no longer necessary.

- **Reasons why alternative methods were rejected in favor of the proposed rulemaking:**

This chapter is proposed to be rescinded.

*Small Business Impact*

**If the rulemaking will have a substantial impact on small business, include a discussion of whether it would be feasible and practicable to do any of the following to reduce the impact of the rulemaking on small business:**

- Establish less stringent compliance or reporting requirements in the rulemaking for small business.

- Establish less stringent schedules or deadlines in the rulemaking for compliance or reporting requirements for small business.

- Consolidate or simplify the rulemaking's compliance or reporting requirements for small business.

- Establish performance standards to replace design or operational standards in the rulemaking for small business.

- Exempt small business from any or all requirements of the rulemaking.

**If legal and feasible, how does the rulemaking use a method discussed above to reduce the substantial impact on small business?**

The rescission of this chapter will have no significant impact on small business.

*Text of Proposed Rulemaking*

ITEM 1. Rescind and reserve 27—Chapter 2.